UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,882 02/01/2007		David E. Vokey	85533-102	8847
23529 ADE & COMP.	7590 05/20/201 ANY INC.		EXAMINER	
2157 Henderson	n Highway		VALONE, THOMAS F	
WINNIPEG, MB R2G1P9 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2858	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,882	VOKEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	THOMAS F. VALONE	2858					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>5/9/11</u> . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,13,15,16,19,21-24,26,29-33</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
	/THOMAS F VALONE/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2858					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues which require further consideration. The latest attempt to enter an AMENDED specification in response to the Office action request of 4/16/10 is not a proper response. Instead, the exact copy of the provisional specification 60/488,090 has been made of record for convenience, since it is only a copy of the original specification identified by the applicant (5/18/05), which also includes paragraph numbers and page numbers.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amended specification submitted twice (5/9/11 SPEC and REM) will not be entered. The amended lines 4-5, on p. 7 conflict with another previous amendment of the same page and line numbers (3/30/10) which has been entered, though it contained the new matter "connecting bridge". Furthermore, the proposed amendments to the drawings, which also will not be entered, use several numbers to identify the same part (Fig. 4 and 5 using 9 and 10, though 91 is the same), therefore creating an objection by the Office if entered. An objection to the drawings would also be rendered for introducing two labels for the same part in Fig. 6 (90 and 10A).

Continuation of 13. Other: Note the attached clean copy of the original specification from the applicant's provisional application 60/448,090 made of record.